# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER +18479057113

T-284 P.01/25 F-724

# MAR 2 9 2006

# MEMORY TRANSMISSION REPORT

: MAR-29-06 04:09PM TIME

TEL NUMBER1: +18479057113

TEL NUMBER2.

: CLG FAX NAME

FILE NUMBER

: 718

DATE

: MAR-29 03:58PM

ŢΟ

: 15712738300

DOCUMENT PAGES

:

START TIME

: MAR-28 03:58PM

END TIME

· MAR-29 04:09PM

SENT PAGES

FILE NUMBER

. 718

\*\*\* SUCCESSFUL TX NOTICE \*\*\*

<u>OFFICIAL</u>

# CARDINAL LAW GROUP

1603 Omington Avenue/Suite 2000 Évanston, Illinois 6020) Telephone 647 – 905 - 7111 Facsimile 847 – 905 – 7113

Date:

MARCH 28, 2006

T'0:

EXAMINER TIV, BACKHEAN U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (571) 273-8300

Fax #:

FRANK C. NICHOLAS (847) 424-2521

From: Phone #:

Client/Manor No.:

AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

23

# of Pages: (including cover sheet)

IP YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE. PLEASE CALL <u>DETAILE.7133...EXT. 323</u> AND ADE FOR ASSINEED CHILE.

This mestace is intended only for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain this reserve is intended only for the individual or entity to which it is addressed in the intended of the intended of the intended recylent or am employer or agent discretions. Attorney work who have intended from the intended recylent or any amployer or agent are respectively of the intended from the properties of the intended from the properties of the intended from the properties of the intended from the intended from the properties of the intended from the inte

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 2 9 2006

**OFFICIAL** 

# CARDINAL LAW GROUP

1603 Orrington Avenue/Suite 2000 Evanston, Illinois 60201 Telephone 847 – 905 - 7111 Facsimile 847 – 905 – 7113

Date:

MARCH 28, 2006

To:

EXAMINER TIV, BACKHEAN

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Fax #:

(571) 273-8300

From:

FRANK C. NICHOLAS

Phone #:

(847) 424-2521

Client/Matter No.:

AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

# of Pages:

23

(including cover sheet)

IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE. PLEASE CALL <u>847/905-7111. Ext. 112</u> AND ASK FOR <u>JENNIFER CRUZ</u>

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. IT MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT, OR TRADE SECRET INFORMATION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAWS. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT. OR AN EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE (AND ALL COPIES) TO US BY MAIL AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. WE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR POSTAGE.

#### MEMORY TRANSMISSION REPORT

TIME : MAR-28-06 05:03PM

TEL NUMBER1: +18479057113

TEL NUMBER2:

: CLG FAX NAME

FILE NUMBER

: 703

DATE

: MAR-28 04-56PM

TO

: 15712738300

DOCUMENT PAGES

: 23

START TIME

: MAR-28 04:55PM

END TIME

: MAR-28 05:03PM

SENT PAGES

: 23

FILE NUMBER

\*\*\* SUCCESSFUL TX NOTICE \*\*\*

**OFFICIAL** 

#### CARDINAL LAW GROUP

1603 Orrington Avenue/Suite 2000 Evanston, Illinois 60201 Telephone 847 - 905 - 7111 Facsimile 847 - 905 - 7113

Date:

MARCH 28, 2006

200

EXAMINER TIV, BACKHEAN U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (571) 273-8300

Fax#:

FRANK C. NICHOLAS (847) 424-2521

Phone #:

Client/Matter No.:

AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

# of Pages:

23

(including cover sheet)

: 703

This meesace is intended only for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain privilege control the intendent of the int

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR-29-06 04:22PM FROM-CLG FAX

MAR 2 9 2006

+18479057113 T-284 P.04/25 F-724

PTICHEEZ 1/2-47), approved to use through MISOOD, Orași DEST-0031. Painte and Trudunian, Diffee. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Librar the Paper Reducion for it 1970, no present the required or respond to 8 contactor of sauthention comment a departs a vest of the contact of the

TDANGMITTAL							Attorney Docket No.			AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)							
TRANSMITTAL							Application Number			10/044,997							
FORM							Fiting Date			JANUARY 10, 2002							
						First Named Invent	or		CRAIG H. BECKER								
(to be used for all correspondence after impail filing)						Group Art Unit			2141								
								Exammer			TIV. BACKHEAN						
							ENC	OSI	JRES (check all	th.	at apply)				<del></del>		٦
	_								nment Papers				Арре	al Com	munication to B	व्याप भी	
	АП	nendme	ΠĽ				Ш				•	Appeals and Interferences					
	Е	3 A	fter Fina	al .				Drawing Sheets				Appeal Brief					
		]	Midavits	/dec	สลาสนอก(ร)			After Allowance Communication to Group			anon w	×					
									ion Routing Stip (PT0 ampanying Petition	21C	SB/69) and		Prophetary Information				
	St	atus Le	her						Convert a risional Application				Post Card Receipt				
	Ti	me Rec	quest (di	up)	for Extension	<b>a</b> t		Cha	nge of Corresponder	ı ÇB	: Adgress		Additional Enclosure(5) (please identify below):				
	E	xpress /	порпеда	nme	ent Request			Terr	Terminal Discialmer								
	information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449						Small Entity Statement										
	C	erufied (	Copy of	Pno	rny Pocumer	ıt(s)			Request of Refund								_
		esponsi pplicatio		sing	Parts/ Incom	plete	Ø	The Commissioner is heraby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 09-0447 (IBM CORPORATION) A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.									
					×	I nereby petition under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) for any extension of time required to ensure that this paper is timely filed. Please charge any associated fees which have not otherwise been paid to Deposit Account No. <u>09-0447</u> (IBM CORPORATION). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.											
1							<del></del>		CALCULATION OF								
										r	Smal	Entry		1 1	Large f	กนาง	
			s Alter dment			Previ			Present Extra		Rate	Add1 Fee		or	Rate	Add'l Fee	
Total	-	Athen		$\dashv$	Minus				0		a \$25	=	٥		× \$50=		
Indep					Minus				0	Ì	× \$100		0		_x \$200=		
		entation	n of Mult	uple	Dep. Claim			+\$186			+\$180	<u>-   </u>			+ \$360=		
								total add fee \$ 0					l	total add'I fee	\$	٥	
					2000			SE O	APPLICANT, ATT	<u>OF</u>	RNEY, OR AG	ENT		<del>,</del>	<del></del>		
or	Firm FRANK C NICHOLAS or Registration No 33,983 CARDINAL LAW GROU 1603 Omington Avenue, Evanston, IL 60201					83 DUP	e 200	o 									
Signa	Signature C.M. II.A.						1/2	4			раје <u>Магел 28. 2006</u>						
	_		!						RTIFICATE OF FAC	_							
I here	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to (571) 273-8300  March 28, 2006																
	to the United States Patent and Trademark Office						h	h				Date: March 28, 2006					
Signature FRANK C NICHOLAS (23,983)							31	)									

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR-29-06 04:22PM FROM-CLG FAX

MAR 2 9 2006

+18479057113

T-284 P.05/25 F-724

PTO-SBC: (12-07), Appresed for was prough 9/50/00, Card 1051-0031. Patent use Traverned-Sriley Nu6. DEPARTMENT OF COLADSRICE
THE Paper Regulation and of 1965, no between we recurred to record to a coloration of information university grounds as white.

				-00	THE PROP	Raguesian Act al 1985, no ;	101101	and Lectured in Louds						$\neg$
	TOAN	CMITT/	م	Attorney Docket No.			AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)							
TRANSMITTAL						Application Number			10/044,997					
FORM					F	Filing Date			JANUARY 10, 2002					
					F	First Named Inventor			CRAIG H BECKER					
	to se used for an con	(	Group Art Unit			2141								
						Examiner				TIV. BACKHEAN				
				ENC	OSII	RES (check a	#	at appivi			_	· · · · · ·		$\neg$
·						nment Papers	** **			Арре	al Com	munication to B	pard of	
	Amenament		ŀ					Appeals and Interferences						
	After Fins	al .			Drawi	awing Sheets				Appeal Brief				
	<u></u>		j		After Allowance Communication to			Mphero exten						
	☐ Afficiavits	/declaration(s)		L- Group								1		
						on Routing Slip (P Inpanying Petition		SB/69) and		Proprietary Information				
	Status Letter					onvert a sional Application				Post Card Receipt				
	One-Manth Petiti Time Request (a		of		Chan	ge of Correspond	ence	• Address		Additional Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):				
	Express Abandor	•			Term	inal Disclaimer				_		_		
	Information Discre	osure Statement,			Small Entry Statement									
	Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)				Request of Refund									·
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application				The Commissioner is nereby authorized to charge any fees which may be fequired, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 09-0447 (IBM CORPORATION). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.										
				×	I bereby perion under 37 CER \$ 1 138(a) for any extension of time required to ensure									
				<u></u>		ALCULATION O		¥					<b>-</b>	
			- Waha	ST No.		Τ	1	Sma	li Entity		1	Large (	Entity	
	Claims After Amendment		Previ	ously		Present Extra		Rate	Add Fee		or	Rate	Add1 Fee	
Total		Minus				0		∡ \$25	=	0		x \$50=		
Indep.		Minus				0		x \$100	=	0_		x \$200=		
First F	resentation of Mut	tiple Dep. Claim					1	+\$18C				+\$360=		\$0
			816	NATI	RE OF	APPLICANT AT	TO	total add1 fe RNEY, OR A		\$ 0	<u> </u>	total add'i fee		
Farm or Individ	or Registration No. 33,983 Individual name CARDINAL LAW GROUP 1603 Orangton Avenue, Suite 2000													
Sign	Signature Evanston, IL 60201					/ ,			Date <u>March 28, 2006</u>					
J.9.18		1. 1/1/	#/4	<u> /                                   </u>	CER	RTIFICATE OF F	ACS	MILE	<del></del>	<del></del>				
Inere	py cerufy that this	correspondence	ıs bein	g <b>tre</b> ns	mitted	via tacsimile to (						Na 00	2006	
to the	I nereby cerufy that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on this date:  March 28, 2006													
Signature EDDOVE NICHOLAS (33 983)							_	Date: March 28 2006						

#### +18479057113

T-284 P.06/25 F-724

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

# MAR 2 9 2006

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE
hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted
via facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the United States
Patent and Trademark Office on March 28, 2006
(Date of Transmission)
FRANK C NICHOLAS (33 983)
Name of Appellant, assigned or registered representanve
Jan C The les
Agnature
Date of Signature

PATENT Case No. AU\$920010712US1 (9000/61)

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re pa	ttent application of:	
	CRAIG H. BECKER, ET AL.	Examiner: TIV, BACKHEAN
Serial 1	No.: 10/044,997	Group Art Unit: 2141
Filed:	JANUARY 10, 2002 )	Conf. No.:2738
Title:	METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ) PEER TO PEER COMMUNICATION IN A) NETWORK ENVIRONMENT )	2,000

#### APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22202-1450

Dear Sir:

Appellants respectfully present their Brief on Appeal as follows:

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002 Page 2 of 20

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Real party in interest	•	-	•	•	•	3
2.	Related appeals and	interfe	rences	•	•		4
3.	Status of claims	•			-		5
4.	Status of amendment	. es			•	•	6
5.	Summary of claimed	subje	ct matte	r.	•	•	7
6.	Grounds of rejection	to be	reviewe	d on a	ppeal	•	8
7.	Argument .			•			9
8.	Conclusion .		•	ě	·	•	12
9.	Claims appendix		•	•	•	••	13
10.	Evidence appendix		•		•	••	20
11.	Related proceedings	appen	dix	,	•	••	20

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 3 of 20

# 1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is assignee INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, USA and located at New Orchard Road, Armonk, New York 10504, USA.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 4 of 20

# 2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellant and the undersigned attorneys are not aware of any appeals or any interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or having a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 5 of 20

#### 3. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-32 are currently pending in the application and stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over United States Patent Publication 2003/0095504A1 to Ogier in view of United States Patent 5,448,561 to Kaiser in view of United States Patent 5,710,885 to Bondi. All claims are on appeal. See, the Appendix.

+18479057113

March 28, 2006

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 6 of 20

# 4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

All amendments have been entered.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 7 of 20

# 5. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The invention provides a method for communicating among a plurality of peer nodes in a network environment. The method includes communicating a discovery command (p. 15, lines 10-15) from a current peer node 114 to at least one neighbor peer node 112, 116, 118, the neighbor peer node in communication with the current peer node, the discovery command including a time to live value 410 indicative of the number of times that the discovery command is forwarded prior to communication expiration 410. In addition, the method includes receiving, at the current peer node, an aggregated list of peer nodes, the aggregated list of peer nodes comprising information about at least one peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node, the information including an IP address and a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections (p. 16 lines 20-25), and wherein each node waits for a predetermined ping time out delay between communicating a subsequent discovery command 502.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 8 of 20

# 6. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Were claims 1-32 properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Ogier in view of Kaiser in further view of Bondi?

Case No. AUS920010712USI (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 9 of 20

#### 7. ARGUMENTS

The Appellants respectfully traverse the obviousness rejections of claims 1-32, because the Examiner has failed to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness as required by MPEP §2143. Specifically, the Examiner has failed to cite a legally sufficient suggestion or a legally sufficient motivation, in Ogier in view of Kaiser in further view of Bondi to obtain the claimed invention.

In order to maintain this rejection, each and every element of the claims must be taught or suggested by the references, in at least as great detail as claimed. At a minimum, Ogier in view of Kaiser in view of Bondi fails to teach or suggest that the information include[es] a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections as claimed in claims 1, 13, and 23. The Examiner relies on Ogier for such a teaching, but at most, Ogier teaches that the information includes an IP address, and not a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections. See, ¶¶36, 39 of Ogier. Neither Kaiser nor Bondi cure this defect. Note that the claim requires that the information include not just a port number, but a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections.

Furthermore, Ogier unequivocally teaches away from the combination as suggested by the Examiner. The Examiner cannot conclusively assert that one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make the suggested modifications based on the teachings of the references.

Specifically, Ogier teaches a reduced-overhead protocol for discovering new neighbor nodes and detecting the loss of existing neighbor nodes in a network. Ogier teaches that prior discovery protocols have "excessive communication overhead, and thus consume excessive bandwidth in networks with limited bandwidth." See, ¶3 of Ogier.

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 10 of 20

Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art, armed with the teachings of Ogier, would be motivated to reduce the communications overhead of a discovery protocol. The Examiner cannot conclusively assert that one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to add a time to live value indicative of the number of times that the discovery command is forwarded prior to communication expiration. Those of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to make any such modification (allegedly taught by Kaiser) because adding such data to the discovery command does not reduce the size of the discovery command – and actually increases the consumption of bandwidth, contrary to the teachings of Ogier. Therefore, Ogier teaches away from the Examiner's attempted combination of Ogier and Kaiser.

Additionally, Bondi teaches away from the instant claims by teaching a network management module. The instant claims require a peer-to-peer network – entirely different than having a network management module, and any modification as suggested by the Examiner would destroy the principle of operation of the reference. Those of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to add a network management module to a peer-to-peer network. Thus, Bondi cannot support a §103(a) rejection.

The mere fact that Ogier can be modified in view of Kaiser in further view of Bondi to obtain the claimed invention does not render the resultant modification obvious unless the prior art also suggests the desirability of the combination. See, *In re Mills*, 916 F.2d 680, 16 USPQ2d 1430 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (Claims were directed to an apparatus for producing an aerated cementitious composition by drawing air into the cementitious composition by driving the output pump at a capacity greater than the feed rate. The prior art reference taught that the feed means can be run at a variable speed, however the court found that this does not require that the output pump be run at the claimed speed so that air is drawn into the mixing chamber and is entrained in the ingredients during operation. Although a prior art device "may be capable of being modified to run the way the apparatus is claimed, there must be a suggestion or motivation in the reference to do so." 916 F.2d at 682, 16 USPQ2d at 1432.). See also *In re Fritch*, 972 F.2d 1260, 23 USPQ2d 1780 (Fed. Cir. 1992) (flexible landscape edging device which is conformable to a ground surface of varying slope not suggested by combination of prior art references).

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 11 of 20

Therefore, there can be no motivation to combine these references. The references themselves teach away from any such combination. Additionally, Ogier does not teach that providing a reduced-overhead protocol is not optimal and denounces protocols that consume excessive bandwidth.

Withdrawal of the rejections to claims 1-12, 14-22, and 24-32.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 12 of 20

#### **CONCLUSION**

The Appellants respectfully submit that claims 1-32 fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§102, 103 and 112. In view of the foregoing, favorable consideration and early passage to issue of the present application is respectfully requested.

Dated: March 28, 2006

Respectfully submitted, CRAIG H. BECKER, et al.

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

Suite 2000

1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201

Phone: (847) 905-7111 Fax: (847) 905-7113

Frank C. Nicholas Registration No. 33,983

Attorney for Appellants

Serial No.: 10/044,997

Filed: January 10, 2002 Page 13 of 20

#### 8. CLAIMS APPENDIX

1. A method for communicating among a plurality of peer nodes in a network environment, comprising:

communicating a discovery command from a current peer node to at least one neighbor peer node, the neighbor peer node in communication with the current peer node, the discovery command including a time to live value indicative of the number of times that the discovery command is forwarded prior to communication expiration; and

receiving, at the current peer node, an aggregated list of peer nodes, the aggregated list of peer nodes comprising information about at least one peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node, the information including an IP address and a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections, and wherein each node waits for a predetermined ping time out delay between communicating a subsequent discovery command.

- The method of claim 1, further comprising: communicating the discovery command to a predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes.
  - The method of claim 2, further comprising:
     determining the number of neighbor peer nodes.
  - 4. The method of claim 1, further comprising: creating a peer table at the current peer node; and updating the peer table with the aggregated list of peer nodes.

> Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002 Page 14 of 20

5. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving, at the current peer node, a second discovery command from an originating peer node; and

communicating, from the current peer node directly to the originating peer node, the peer table in response to the second discovery command.

6. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving a data message at the current peer node, the data message having a unique descriptor.

7. The method of claim 6, further comprising:

comparing the descriptor of the received data message to a descriptor table, the descriptor table comprising a plurality of data messages and associated descriptors.

- 8. The method of claim 7, further comprising:

  updating the descriptor table with the received data message and the descriptor of the received data message.
- 9. The method of claim 1, further comprising: forwarding a query command from the current peer node to a predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes.
  - 10. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving, at the current peer node, response data directly from at least one other peer node, the at least one other peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61) Serial No.: 10/044,997

Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 15 of 20

11. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving, at the current peer node, a query command from an originating peer

node; and

communicating, from the current peer node directly to the originating peer node, response data in response to the query command.

12. The method of claim 11, further comprising:

forwarding the query command from the current peer node to a predetermined

number of neighbor peer nodes.

13. Computer program product in a computer usable medium for communicating among a plurality of peer nodes in a network environment, comprising:

means for communicating a discovery command from a current peer node to at least one neighbor peer node, the neighbor peer node in communication with the current peer node, the discovery command including a time to live value indicative of the number of times that the discovery command is forwarded prior to communication expiration; and

means for receiving, at the current peer node, an aggregated list of peer nodes, the aggregated list of peer nodes comprising information about at least one peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node, the information including an IP address and a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections, and wherein each node waits for a predetermined ping time out delay between communicating a subsequent discovery command.

14. The product of claim 13, further comprising:

means for communicating the discovery command to a predetermined number

of neighbor peer nodes; and

means for determining the number of neighbor peer nodes.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61) Serial No.: 10/044,997

Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 16 of 20

- 15. The product of claim 13, further comprising: means for creating a peer table at the current peer node; and means for updating the peer table with the aggregated list of peer nodes.
- 16. The product of claim 13, further comprising:

  means for receiving, at the current peer node, a second discovery command from an originating peer node; and

means for communicating, from the current peer node directly to the originating peer node, the peer table in response to the second discovery command.

17. The product of claim 13, further comprising:

means for receiving a data message at the current peer node, the data message having a unique descriptor; and

means for comparing the descriptor of the received data message to a descriptor table, the descriptor table comprising a plurality of data messages and associated descriptors.

- 18. The product of claim 17, further comprising: means for updating the descriptor table with the received data message and the descriptor of the received data message.
- 19. The product of claim 13, further comprising:
  means for communicating a query command from the current peer node to a
  predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes.
- 20. The product of claim 13, further comprising:

  means for receiving, at the current peer node, response data directly from at least one other peer node, the at least one other peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node.

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002 Page 17 of 20

21. The product of claim 13, further comprising:

means for receiving, at the current peer node, a query command from an originating peer node; and

means for communicating, from the current peer node directly to the originating peer node, response data in response to the query command.

- 22. The product of claim 21, further comprising:

  means for forwarding the query command from the current peer node to a predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes.
- 23. A system for communicating among a plurality of peer nodes in a network environment, comprising:

means for communicating a discovery command from a current peer node to at least one neighbor peer node, the neighbor peer node in communication with the current peer node, the discovery command including a time to live value indicative of the number of times that the discovery command is forwarded prior to communication expiration; and

means for receiving, at the current peer node, an aggregated list of peer nodes, the aggregated list of peer nodes comprising information about at least one peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node, the information including an IP address and a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections, and wherein each node waits for a predetermined ping time out delay between communicating a subsequent discovery command.

24. The system of claim 23, further comprising:

means for communicating the discovery command to a predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes; and

means for determining the number of neighbor peer nodes.

March 28, 2006 Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61) Serial No.: 10/044,997

Filed: January 10, 2002 Page 18 of 20

- 25. The system of claim 23, further comprising: means for creating a peer table at the current peer node; and means for updating the peer table with the aggregated list of peer nodes.
- 26. The system of claim 25, further comprising:

  means for receiving, at the current peer node, a second discovery command from an originating peer node; and

means for communicating, from the current peer node directly to the originating peer node, the peer table in response to the second discovery command.

27. The system of claim 23, further comprising:

means for receiving a data message at the current peer node, the data message having a unique descriptor; and

means for comparing the descriptor of the received data message to a descriptor table, the descriptor table comprising a plurality of data messages and associated descriptors.

- 28. The system of claim 27, further comprising: means for updating the descriptor table with the received data message and the descriptor of the received data message.
- 29. The system of claim 23, further comprising:

  means for forwarding a query command from the current peer node to a

  predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes.

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002 Page 19 of 20

30. The system of claim 23, further comprising:

means for receiving, at the current peer node, response data directly from at least one other peer node, the at least one other peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node.

31. The system of claim 23, further comprising:

means for receiving, at the current peer node, a query command from an originating peer node; and

means for communicating, from the current peer node directly to the originating peer node, response data in response to the query command.

32. The system of claim 31, further comprising:
means for forwarding the query command from the current peer node to a
predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 20 of 20

#### 9. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

Appellants entered no evidence pursuant to §1.130, 1.131 or 1.132, and the Examiner entered no evidence that was relied upon by Appellants.

# 10. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

There are no copies of related decisions or proceedings.